

**Council for the Village of Yellow Springs
Regular Session Minutes**

In Council Chambers @ 6:30 P.M.

Monday, December 17, 2018

CALL TO ORDER

President of Council Brian Housh called the meeting to order at 6:30pm.

ROLL CALL

Present were President Housh, Vice President Marianne MacQueen and Council members Kevin Stokes and Lisa Kreeger and Kineta Sanford. Also present were Village Manager Patti Bates and Solicitor Chris Conard.

EXECUTIVE SESSION (6:30)

MacQueen MOVED and Kreeger SECONDED a MOTION TO ENTER EXECUTIVE SESSION for the Purpose of Discussion of Potential Litigation. The MOTION PASSED 5-0 ON A ROLL CALL VOTE.

At 7:01, MacQueen MOVED and Stokes SECONDED a MOTION TO EXIT EXECUTIVE SESSION. The MOTION PASSED 5-0 ON A VOICE VOTE.

SWEARING IN

Mark Ewalt, Energy Board, was sworn in by President Housh.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

MacQueen congratulated the Mills Park Hotel on receipt of "Hospitality Leadership Team of the Year Award" from the Ohio Hotel and Lodging Association.

Housh noted that the Village has been awarded \$22,084.00 from Greene County, and asked that people start thinking about how this could be expended most effectively.

Housh noted that the Village has made it to phase two of the "Culture of Health" award process and thanked Karen Wintrow and Kineta Sanford for their involvement in this effort.

Housh clarified that the grant received from the Department of Health for the Active Transportation Plan was a return of taxpayer funds from state coffers to a good local cause.

Housh commented on a letter which had appeared in the YS News from the 365 Group, stating that while he believed the comments were not meant to cause a slight, they failed to take into account the great deal of effort expended by many in the last two years to create meaningful change in the YSPD and the local justice system generally. Housh commented that the work necessary is difficult, and noted that it is necessary to move beyond critique to positive suggestions that can be used to address the issues at hand. Housh stated that citizen support is critical to resolving these issues.

CONSENT AGENDA

1. Minutes of December 3, 2018 Regular Meeting

MacQueen MOVED and Sanford SECONDED a MOTION TO APPROVE the Consent Agenda. Housh CALLED THE VOTE, and the MOTION PASSED 5-0 on a voice vote.

REVIEW OF AGENDA

MacQueen asked to highlight something regarding the Little Miami River.

PETITIONS/COMMUNICATIONS

MacQueen reviewed communications received as follows:

Donna Sorrel re: Support of Senior Housing
Kathryn Hitchcock re: Support of Senior Housing
Susan Pfeiffer re: Support of Senior Housing
Suzanne Patterson re: Support of Senior Housing
Joan Horn re: Support of Senior Housing
Richard Zopf re: Support of Senior Housing
Susan Stiles re: Support for Senior Housing
AMICS re: Support for Senior Housing
Colin Altman re: Fire Suppression for Proposed PUD
Kineta Sanford re: Recusal from PUD Discussion

Judith Hempfling re: Support for Senior Housing
Emily Seibel re: Senior Housing FAQ
Bruce Bradtmiller re: Support for Senior Housing
Richard Lapedes re: Support for Senior Housing
Ilse Tebbets re: Support for Senior Housing
Kevin McGruder re: Support for Senior Housing
Marianne MacQueen re: Support for Senior Housing
YS Chamber re: Thank You and Happy Holidays
Josh Knapp re: Bryan Center Security
Chief Carlson re: NYE Event Plans
Susan Jennings re: Proposed Resolution for Agraria Trail
365 Group re: Village Policing
Brian Housh re: Village Policing Guidelines
Karen Wintrow re: Support for Senior Housing

PUBLIC HEARINGS/LEGISLATION

Second Reading and Public Hearing of Ordinance 2018-50 Approving the 2019 Budget for the Village of Yellow Springs and Declaring an Emergency. MacQueen MOVED and Sanford SECONDED a MOTION TO APPROVE.

Housh OPENED THE PUBLIC HEARING. He noted that the ordinance is being read as an emergency so that it can be effective as of January 1, 2019.

There being no public comment, Housh CLOSED THE PUBLIC HEARING and CALLED THE VOTE. The MOTION PASSED 5-0 ON A ROLL CALL VOTE.

Second Reading and Public Hearing of Ordinance 2018-51 Approving Creation of a Fund for the Furtherance of Affordable Housing in the Village of Yellow Springs. Sanford MOVED and Stokes SECONDED a MOTION TO APPROVE.

Housh noted that the line is being created as a reflection of Council goals and values, and will need to be approved by the State Auditor before it can be used.

Housh OPENED THE PUBLIC HEARING.

There being no public comment, Housh CLOSED THE PUBLIC HEARING and CALLED THE VOTE. The MOTION PASSED 4-0 ON A ROLL CALL VOTE with MacQueen abstaining without reason given.

Emergency Reading of Ordinance 2018-52 Approving a Supplemental Appropriation for the Fourth Quarter of 2018 and Declaring an Emergency. Kreeger MOVED and MacQueen SECONDED a MOTION TO APPROVE.

Harris explained the ordinance as a housekeeping measure necessary to pay all 2018 expenses which have exceeded their budgets.

Harris noted that a large portion of the supplemental covers cash-outs which are payment to employees leaving the Village and receiving their accrued vacation and sick pay. These amounts are difficult to predict, Harris noted, and 2018 saw several significant cash-out situations.

Housh OPENED THE PUBLIC HEARING.

There being no public comment, Housh CLOSED THE PUBLIC HEARING and CALLED THE VOTE. The MOTION PASSED 5-0 ON A ROLL CALL VOTE.

Reading of Resolution 2018-42 Adjusting Village Employee Wage Scales. Stokes MOVED and MacQueen SECONDED a MOTION TO APPROVE.

Bates noted research in the surrounding communities shows an average of about 2% in the region. The adjustment applies only to hourly employees.

Housh noted that Greene County also gave 2%.

Housh thanked employees as a group for their good work for the Village.

Housh CALLED THE VOTE and the MOTION PASSED 5-0 on a voice vote.

CITIZEN CONCERNS

Judith Hempfling stated that she disagreed with Housh as to the intent of the letter from 365, stating that that group was making a constructive reminder. Hempfling commented upon security concerns in the lobby area, asking that people be helped to find alternatives.

Bates commented that the lobby security protocol will not change procedure in any way other than that the dispatcher will know who is in the building because visitors will have to be let in. Bates stated that visitors will be encouraged to make use of the resources offered to them.

SPECIAL REPORTS

Consideration of Planning Commission Recommendation re: PUD Proposal. Housh prefaced the discussion by having the solicitor provide an overview of the process.

Conard thanked Planning Commission (PC) for making a well-considered recommendation.

Conard reviewed the process, and advised Council as to their duties as set forth in the Village Zoning Code.

Conard asked each Council member to affirm that s/he had reviewed the record in its entirety, including all citizen comments.

Sanford recused for reason of conflict of interest as a current employee of Home, Inc. Sanford then left Council Chambers.

Kreeger affirmed that she had reviewed all materials in the record and had, additionally, attended both relevant meetings of Planning Commission.

Stokes affirmed that he had reviewed all material.

MacQueen affirmed that she had both reviewed all materials and had attended both relevant Planning Commission meetings.

Housh affirmed that he had reviewed all material and had watched the youtube video of both relevant Planning Commission meetings.

Conard stated that any Council member had the ability to ask questions of any person involved in the hearing process or any staff person in order to obtain clarification or information needed for a decision.

Housh stated that Council would review the standards, and should frame questions around those standards. He suggested that any citizen comment address the review standards.

The Clerk read the PUD Review Standards (Chapter 1254.06).

Stokes stated that he trusted the process to this point. He asked for clarification that the facility can be served from a physical need perspective.

Johnnie Burns stated that improvements would have to be made to the infrastructure, and that these would need to move up in the priority scheme. Burns stated that he could not definitively say that the Village could meet all needs but could not say whether any of the needs would result in additional cost to the Village until he receives final renderings.

Burns stated that the needs could be met, but that he could not be definite regarding cost at this time. He stated that water is there, sewer relining will need to occur, and electric can be provided.

Stokes asked about the need for a traffic study.

Burns acknowledged that the traffic count provided by Home, Inc. St. Mary's Development Corporation (HI/SMDC) shows no need for a traffic study, but stated that he will require this, stating that Herman and Xenia Avenue is "probably one of the most dangerous intersections in town."

Responding to a question from Housh, Burns stated that the study would be at the expense of the Village.

Bates suggested that ODOT might be willing to conduct the study.

Burns noted that a traffic light would be at the expense of the Village, and would cost in the area of \$225,000.00.

Kreeger asked for confirmation that the sewer can handle the capacity of the structure.

Burns responded that the capacity is there and that there would be no further capacity on that line.

Kreeger asked whether some "senior with family" units had been considered, and asked also about the possibility of co-housing for the proposed unit.

Emily Seibel, Home, Inc. Director, stated that each unit has to have its own kitchen, so that the co-housing idea would not be feasible.

Wes Young stated that for senior housing, the lower age limit is 55. An aide can live with a resident, Young stated, but multi-age or co-housing options are not possible. He stated that a grandchild could visit for a total of 14 consecutive days.

Housh received clarification from Swinger as to how the recommendation for 42 parking spaces with the available option to increase to 54 came about.

Swinger stated that the average age for this property is likely to be younger than the properties upon which the averages were determined by SMDC. She stated that the Village does not provide as good availability of public transportation as SMDC's properties in Dayton.

Stokes asked about coordination between Friends Care, SMDC and the Fire Station for parking, and was informed that this was only posed as a suggestion.

Stokes received confirmation that PC had requested that the space be available to expand the parking if it were needed.

Housh asked about other parking options.

Seibel stated that HI/SMDC could contact Greene CATS regarding a possible stop at the facility. She commented that SMDC has in the past received a grant for adult trikes, and could pursue that option in this instance as well. She noted the potential for coordination with the Senior Center for ride provision, and the potential for fundraising for golf carts.

Young responded to Housh, stating that there is not a restriction on cars per unit, generally, in SMDC facilities. He stated that he would reserve funds for expansion of the parking area if needed.

Housh asked about a separate visitor parking lot.

Architect Rob Humason demurred, stating that this has not been done previously. He responded to a question regarding whether more than 54 spaces were needed, stating that this would detract from green space, but would be doable.

Housh asked about the selection process for who receives apartments.

Seibel stated that as soon as the project is funded, local seniors would be able to apply, and once the building is within 120 days of completion, the property management company, which is National Church Residencies, would inform applicants as to their status based upon a "first come first served" basis.

Seibel did not address eligibility.

Housh opened the floor for public comment.

Jillian Ewalt expressed concerns regarding the project. She agreed that there is a need for affordable senior housing, but expressed concern regarding density, green space and traffic. She stated that there are "long term and community-wide consequences." She asked that the matter be considered more responsibly and creatively.

Richard Lapedes commented that the project is complicated, and that it is difficult to balance all of the issues. He spoke in favor of the project, stating that the project should bring more young families to the village. He stated that the overall good overrides the negatives.

Pat Brown stated that principles need to be balanced against values, commenting that the four stories should be approved. She commented that the traffic concern is likely not to occur.

Chris Bonjorno, resident of the neighborhood and Home, Inc. Board Director, commented that the issues raised as concerns have been addressed by the developers, and that he will look at the traffic and safety concerns carefully as well, as a member of the Safe Routes to Schools committee member.

Judith Hempfling commented that neighborhood harmony comes from a mix of homes in the village. She commented that there is the potential for connectivity. She asked that the zoning code be changed to come into alignment with village values.

Mitzie Miller asked whether parking is permitted on the street on either Herman or Marshall. Burns stated that he thought it was after the first half of the block. Miller stated familiarity with senior housing where seniors are limited to one vehicle and where it is difficult to park as a visitor.

Miller asked who would be responsible for infrastructure costs.

Bates commented that sewer relining, traffic study and traffic light would all be costs to the village.

Housh commented that the earlier raised fact that that area had been a medical clinic with concomitant traffic was relevant.

Seibel stated that HI/SMDC has committed to up to \$56,000.00 for infrastructure and can commit to up to 15% in excess of that. She stated that this INCLUDES the aid to construction costs which are always the responsibility of the developer but that HI/SMDC was committed to the cost of the sewer relining to the extent possible at an estimate of \$27,000.00. Seibel referenced the traffic study paid for by HI/SMDC which states that there would not be a significant impact.

Seibel stated that if the project receives funding, HI/SMDC will have to raise eight million dollars in tax credits to be able to build the structure. That is, eight of the ten million dollars will still have to be obtained, if funding is awarded.

Bates commented that Friends Care has steadily increased in size and capacity since the clinic departed, such that the comment regarding the clinic traffic may not be relevant. She commented also that Greene CATS has recently lost its contract with Greene, Inc. which may affect their funding and ability to serve the village.

MacQueen commented that the amount of traffic in and out of Friends Care has not engendered any complaints from residents, and her sense is that it would be comparable in amount to the traffic generated by the SMDC facility. She stated that her greater concern was for the traffic associated with the fire station.

Malte Von Mathiesson commented that the land for the clinic and for Friends Care was all donated by Serge Vernay, and that it was controversial at the time. He stated that the development seems to be a natural next step.

Emily Seibel stated that she would be reaching out to Jillian Ewald, and would be exploring the issue of visitor parking.

Pat Brown commented that she never sees any cars at Friends Care.

Carmen Milano commented that there are no other facilities with elevators, and that this enables aging in place. She spoke in favor of the 54 units and the cascade effect it might have on housing.

Stokes commented to the effect that the effort to find senior housing has been underway in some fashion for some number of years. He asked that no one who lives in Yellow Springs should be the one to say no. Let's give a viable project to play the game.

Stokes suggested that people ride and walk more to effect traffic.

Kreeger thanked citizens for expressing their thoughts to her. She stated that this hasn't been a slam dunk. Kreeger stated her confidence in the Village Manager and the Manager's team to handle the project from an infrastructure standpoint. She commented that part of her role is to facilitate collaboration between such entities as the school board, the township and the Village, and that she would be failing in that capacity not to assume the ability of multiple entities to collaborate successfully to pull off a significant endeavor such as that proposed by HI/SMDC.

Both Housh and Kreeger thanked HI/SMDC, the Village team, and Planning Commission for their work in bringing the project to Council table.

Housh commented that often the good is abandoned because the ideal cannot be reached. Housh stated that this knowledge impacts his decision, and his need to balance goals and values against the higher ideal. Housh commented that the village like many others is dealing with a housing crisis. He expressed his confidence in the village team and in Planning Commission to move the project forward once it is approved.

Housh explained the review process, noting the need to vote on each of the review standards and to add any modifications as needed he noted further that the reviewing body must find that the proposed development meets all of the following general standards:

Housh suggested starting with the second standard and then returning to (a). He then walked Council through each of the standards of Chapter 1254.06 in the following order:

(b)The PUD will promote the intent and purpose of this chapter. Housh asked for a roll call vote, first reading the PUD Purpose to provide context, he noted that the standard was not approved at the Planning Commission (PC) level.

Roll call: Kreeger-Y; Stokes-Y; MacQueen-Y; Housh-Y.

(a) The proposed project will be compatible with adjacent uses of land, the natural environment, and the capacities of public services and facilities affected by the proposed project. Housh noted that the standard was not approved at the Planning Commission (PC) level.

Roll call: Kreeger-Y; Stokes-Y; MacQueen-Y; Housh-Y.

Conard commented that any modifications desired should be suggested during discussion of 1254.03, although a general discussion could be undertaken during discussion of Review Standards.

(b) The proposed project will be consistent with the public health, safety, and welfare needs of the Village. Housh stated that this standard was approved at the PC level.

Roll call: Kreeger-Y; Stokes-Y; MacQueen-Y; Housh-Y.

(c) Granting the PUD rezoning will result in a recognizable and substantial benefit to ultimate users of the project and to the community, which would not otherwise be feasible or achievable under the conventional zoning districts. Housh noted that the standard was not approved at the Planning Commission (PC) level.

Roll call: Kreeger-Y; Stokes-Y; MacQueen-Y; Housh-Y.

(d) The PUD will not result in a significant increase in the need for public services and facilities and will not place a significant burden upon surrounding lands or the natural environment, unless the resulting adverse effects are adequately provided for or mitigated by features of the PUD as approved. Housh stated that this standard was approved at the PC level.

Roll call: Kreeger-Y; Stokes-Y; MacQueen-Y; Housh-Y.

(e) The PUD will be consistent with the Village's Comprehensive Plan and Vision: Yellow Springs and Miami Township. Specifically, the following planning principles shall be adhered to, as applicable: Housh stated that this standard was not approved at the PC level.

- (1) Redevelopment and infill locations should be favored over greenfield development;
- (2) Natural features and resources should be preserved or at least conserved;
- (3) Future development/redevelopment shall strengthen the physical character of the Village;
- (4) Quality design is emphasized for all uses to create an attractive, distinctive public and private realm;
- (5) Places are created with an integrated mix of uses that contribute to the Village's identity and vitality;
- (6) Diverse housing choices are found throughout the Village, including relatively highdensity and affordable units;
- (7) Parks, open space and recreational areas are incorporated into future development; and

(8) Places are connected and accessible throughout the community by transportation methods other than automobiles.

Roll call: Kreeger-Y; Stokes-Y; MacQueen-Y; Housh-Y.

(f) The PUD will respect or enhance the established or planned character, use, and intensity of development within the area of the Village where it is to be located. Housh stated that this standard was not approved at the PC level.

Roll call: Kreeger-Y; Stokes-Y; MacQueen-Y; Housh-Y.

Finally, Housh called the vote on the first standard:

(a) The PUD will comply with the standards, conditions, and requirements of this chapter. Housh noted that at the PC level, PUD requirements were found to be met or not-met as follows:

Permitted Uses--met;

Minimum Lot Size and Zoning Requirementsô not met;

Connectivityô met;

Modification of Minimum Requirementsô met, except for providing a mix of residential types and employment of low impact design or other best practices to manage stormwater mitigation.

Open Spaceô met.

Regarding Conditions, Housh stated, Recognizable Benefit was approved by PC; Size and Architecture were not approved; Utilities; Ownership; Pedestrian Accommodation; Comprehensive Plan and Vision; Traffic; Eligible Districts were all approved by PC.

Roll call: Kreeger-Y; Stokes-Y; MacQueen-Y; Housh-Y.

Having approved of 1254.06, Council continued to 1254.03 (d), intended deviations.

Conard noted that the deviations can be approved by Council. He stated that of the eight factors listed, 1; 2; 6; 7 and 8 were met.

Conard read through the deviations, one being the size of the lot. Council had previously accepted consideration of the lot as fewer than 5 acres.

Housh called the vote on whether Council approves the size deviation with respect to the size of the lot, with the following result: Roll call: Kreeger-Y; Stokes-Y; MacQueen-Y; Housh-Y.

Housh called the vote regarding approval of the deviation in density of an increase of 26 additional units, with the following result: Roll call: Kreeger-Y; Stokes-Y; MacQueen-Y; Housh-Y.

Housh called the vote regarding approval of the deviation in height of an increase of 35 additional feet, with the following result: Roll call: Kreeger-Y; Stokes-Y; MacQueen-Y; Housh-Y.

Housh asked for a vote on the RECOMMENDATION OF PLANNING COMMISSION with regard to Chapter 1254.03(d). Roll call: Kreeger-Y; Stokes-Y; MacQueen-Y; Housh-Y.

With regard to PC's requested modifications, Housh called the vote on each recommendation with the following result:

1. A traffic study coordinated by Village Staff with consideration for the location of the Miami Township Fire House and with input of the County Engineer and Ohio Department of Transportation as needed.

Roll call: Kreeger-Y; Stokes-Y; MacQueen-Y; Housh-Y.

2. Approve parking with no fewer than 42 parking spaces, reserving additional parking spaces for expansion as needed for up to 54 parking spaces.

Housh asked that the recommendation be amended as follows: That HI/SMDC set aside funds to construct the additional 12 parking spaces as needed.

Conard asked that a representative from SMDC affirm their ability to set aside these funds.

Young affirmed that the funds would be set aside for a total of 54 spaces.

Roll call: Kreeger-Y; Stokes-Y; MacQueen-Y; Housh-Y.

Housh affirmed that legislation to rezone will be brought to the January 7th meeting, and that the legislation will not be read as an emergency.

Housing Advisory Board End-of-Year Review. Kevin McGruder, member of the Manager's Housing Advisory Board, gave the report as follows.

The Village Manager's Housing Advisory Board (HAB) began in 2017 as a team that came together at the directive of Village Council. Its purpose was to oversee securing the services of a Housing Needs Assessment (HNA) provider for the Village of Yellow Springs. Bowen National Research was selected as the provider and worked on the HNA through the end of 2017. Over 800 village residents participated in the HNA survey. Patrick Bowen presented the Assessment in a PowerPoint presentation to Council on January 16, 2018. The 400+ page HNA includes population and housing related demographic data as well as five-year projections for population changes and housing demand. Key points made in the Assessment include in part:

É Relative lack of available housing choices across the spectrum of size and price points for both homeownership and rental units. Yellow Springs has a very tight market which is a driver in its relatively high housing costs.

On August 20th 2018, Council adopted, by resolution, the HAB recommendation of the following Vision and Values Statement. :

õYellow Springs has a housing stock that enables a diverse community to live and work here.

As a next step toward the development of a Housing Plan, Patrick Bowen generously offered to assist the Village in creating housing goals. He made a PowerPoint presentation to Council on August 20, 2018. Bowen recommended that the Village seek the development of 500 new housing units over the next five years, 300 of which (60%) to be rental units. His recommendations provided details on the number of units that would meet different demographic needs including age, household size, and income considerations. He also suggested goals of increasing the percentage of children and young adults in the Village to be more in line with the region and attracting more people of color.

On September 20th HAB met with a housing stakeholder group to reflect on Bowen's suggested goals. The group included realtors, a local developer, Home, Inc., and representatives from the Senior Center, Yellow Springs Schools and Antioch College.

Their feedback was critical to HAB's decision to modify Bowen's suggested goals by extending the time frame to 10 ó 15 years and creating a target range of from 300-500 units. The target of 60% rental and 40% for sale units stayed the same. HAB passed on to Council two additional suggestions from the stakeholder meeting: 1) the need for a Village-wide marketing plan for the housing effort that would include an affirmative marketing component; and, 2) the importance of offering starter homes at more õaffordableö rates.

Regarding 2019 goals, McGruder stated that an alternate Council member, a realtor, and a Planning Commission member be added to the MHAB for 2019.

An additional goal for 2019 is to complete the Housing Plan as a living document.

McGruder stated that another goal is to commission a study of Glass Farm and to hold another series of community conversations after the housing document is written.

Based on HAB's recommendations following the stakeholder meeting, at its November 5th 2018 meeting, Council adopted, by resolution, the following Housing Goals Statement:

Stokes asked about outreach to developers.

McGruder noted that available land has been identified, noting that developers need to know where available land exists, and identify barriers to development.

MacQueen stated that resources need to be gathered.

Housh noted the importance of involving Planning Commission.

Housh reiterated the need to formalize the Village's relationship with Home, Inc.

MacQueen asked Council to affirm the stated goals of the HAB, with the inclusion of involvement of PC and of formalizing the relationship with Home, Inc.

Council stated their informal approval.

ESC Report on Rebranding/Marketing of CBE. Kreeger commented that one of the ESC's 2018 goals was to start a marketing strategy for the CBE. Kreeger noted that work has been ongoing on the matter, and that her purpose is to let Council think about what steps Council would like the ESC to take next.

Kreeger noted that ESC engaged in a SWOT analysis and has developed a list of questions and next steps, including focus upon an attraction strategy for the type of businesses the Village would like to attract to the CBE. Kreeger noted outreach to real estate groups is being considered, as well as creation of a simple site plan. The group has a number of other options to research, which Kreeger noted. ESC is ready to work with Council, Kreeger said, and this will be a major undertaking for 2019.

Housh suggested pulling together some of the entities that might fit with the CBE for a brainstorming session.

Kreeger mentioned B-Corps, which are associated with sustainability and social good, as another contact and resource.

OLD BUSINESS

Council End-of Year Review. Housh and Kreeger presented by means of a slide show, noting that the presentation is not all-inclusive, but points up a number of accomplishments achieved over the past year.

MacQueen suggested combining end of year reports for 2019 so that staff accomplishments are included in the report.

Updated Village Manager Timeline. Housh reviewed the timeline he provided for the discussion, noting that position advertisements are now prepped for posting in a variety of locations.

Housh asked that the advertisement for the Citizen Committee be placed as soon as possible. MacQueen asked to edit that piece in collaboration with the Clerk.

Council agreed to review the process and documents at greater length at their mini-retreat on December 19th.

NEW BUSINESS

Little Miami Scenic River. MacQueen referenced two groups started by Hope Taft in support of the Little Miami, one the “Little Miami River Cleaners,” and one other, meant to promote the Little Miami. The organizations have partners, she stated, and noted that the Little Miami runs through the South Glen. MacQueen stated that she plans to return in January with a proposal that The Village become a partner.

Evaluations. Stokes stated that a new means of attaining evaluations is underway which uses Survey Monkey to obtain responses. He commented that Village values are integrated into the evaluation in this effort, as opposed to the prior method which primarily assessed duties.

Housh stated that professional development will be stressed.

Housh stated that the matrix will be ready for Wednesday, and asked that all involved complete their reviews by Friday for the Manager and the Clerk.

MANAGER’S REPORT

Bates reported on the following:

To date the Village has received \$1,081.77 in donations for the Utility Round Up which includes \$603.89 from YS Community Foundation Giving Tuesday and from Village residents. The Village should also be receiving the \$5,000 grant from the YS Community Foundation very soon.

Staff has been speaking with our IT provider, TechAdvisors, about potential upgrades to our computers. After much thought and research, we have signed a 4-year lease with Dell to upgrade all computers and software on a continuing basis. This lease will cost less over time than purchasing the necessary equipment outright, and will also keep us on a regular upgrade cycle.

SOLICITOR’S REPORT

There was no Solicitor’s report.

CLERK’S REPORT

There was no verbal Clerk Report.

FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

- Jan. 7:
- First Reading of Ordinance 2019-01** Authorizing the Sale During Calendar Year 2018 of Municipally Owned Personal Property which Is Not Needed for Public Use, or Which is Obsolete or Unfit for the Use for which it was Acquired, by Internet Auction, Pursuant to Ohio Revised Code Section 721.15(D)

First Reading of Ordinance 2019-02 Rezoning Ten Parcels of Village Land Currently Located in the R-B District to Planned Unit Development (PUD)

Reading of Resolution 2019-01 Supporting Agraria Trail

Reading of Resolution 2019-02 Authorizing the Sale During Calendar Year 2019 of Municipally Owned Personal Property which is Not Needed for Public

Use, or Which is Obsolete or Unfit for the Use for which it was Acquired, by
Internet Auction, Pursuant to Ohio Revised Code Section 721.15(D)
Public Works End of Year Report
Charter Review Discussion
Managerø End of Year Report
Nominate Community Advisory Council
Candidate Vetting Process
Council 2019 Goals
Jan. 22: Charter Review Discussion
ESC Annual Report
ACC Annual report

ADJOURNMENT

At 10:17pm, MacQueen MOVED and Sanford SECONDED a MOTION TO ADJOURN. The MOTION PASSED 5-0 ON A VOICE VOTE.

Please note: These notes are not verbatim. A DVD copy of the meeting is available for viewing in the Clerk of Council's office between 9am and 3pm Monday through Friday or any time via youtube link from the Village website:

Brian Housh, Council President

Attest: Judy Kintner, Clerk of Council