

**Council of the Village of Yellow Springs
Regular Session Minutes**

In Council Chambers @ 6:30 P.M.

Monday, June 4, 2018

CALL TO ORDER

President of Council Brian Housh called the meeting to order at 6:30pm.

ROLL CALL

Present were President Housh, Vice President Marianne MacQueen and Council members Judith Hempfling, Kevin Stokes and Lisa Kreeger. Also present were Village Manager Patti Bates and Village Solicitor Chris Conard.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

At 6:31pm, Kreeger MOVED and Stokes SECONDED a MOTION to ENTER EXECUTIVE SESSION for the Discussion of Potential Litigation and the Discipline of a Public Employee. The MOTION PASSED 5-0 on a ROLL CALL VOTE.

At 7:00pm, Kreeger MOVED to EXIT EXECUTIVE SESSION. Stokes SECONDED, and the MOTION PASSED 5-0 ON A VOICE VOTE.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

Hempfling reminded all of the ‘Swimming for All’ Program. Passes are available for a reduced cost under some circumstances at the Gaunt Park Pool.

Kreeger also noted that swimming lessons were free at the pool.

Housh noted that the Village was successfully live-streaming Council meetings on the Village YouTube Channel.

Housh reminded all that Street Fair will occur on Saturday, June 9th.

Housh noted that Antioch College will be hosting Music on Main on Saturday, June 9th after Street Fair.

Housh announced that Yellow Springs had been recognized as a Bronze Bicycle-Friendly Community, the 18th such community in Ohio. We are the smallest municipality to receive this recognition.

Kreeger noted the VIDA (Village Inspiration & Design Award) given recently to Richard Lapedes and Maureen Lynch for their beautifully landscaped yard and active support of public art throughout the Village.

Bates described the John Bryan Community Gallery art game played by the Village Team. The game was designed by Nancy Mellon and Kathy Moulton on behalf of the Arts & Culture Commission. Housh provided the prizes and Bates provided the food for a cookout for staff. A good time was had by all and the game provided an opportunity to interact with local art. Bates also noted the “Staff Picks”, involving team members choosing a particular piece of art as a favorite.

REVIEW OF AGENDA

Hempfling requested that the Utility Roundup discussion be moved to follow the Late Fee Forgiveness one.

Hempfling requested that the Mayor’s Court Recommendation from the JSTF be added under Old Business for a clarification.

Housh added nomination of a Board of Zoning Appeals alternate under New Business.

PETITIONS/COMMUNICATIONS

MacQueen reviewed communications received as follows:

Kirsten Bean re: support of Tobacco 21

Cindy Sieck re: support of Tobacco 21

Becky Campbell re: concern of possible marijuana dispensary in the Village
Bicycle-Friendly Community Notification and Materials

PUBLIC HEARINGS/LEGISLATION

Planning and Zoning Inspector Denise Swinger explained the first three pieces of legislation. For “Permitted Signs,” some larger developments, such as Friends Care or the DMS, Ink complex, need more signage than permitted by Code in order to effectively direct traffic. The “Permitted Signs” legislation allows the Zoning Inspector to allow additional signage that is not visible from public streets or neighboring properties.

Second Reading and Public Hearing of Ordinance 2018-22 Repealing Section 1266.03 “Permitted Signs” of the Codified Ordinances of the Village Of Yellow Springs, Ohio and Enacting New Chapter 1266.03 “Permitted Signs”

Kreeger MOVED to pass the legislation and MacQueen SECONDED. Housh opened the public hearing. No comments were provided, and Housh closed the public hearing. The vote was called, all “ayes” by roll call vote.

Second Reading and Public Hearing of Ordinance 2018-23 Repealing Section 1284.03 “Definitions: C-D” of the Codified Ordinances of the Village of Yellow Springs, Ohio and Enacting New Chapter 1284.03 “Definitions: C-D”

Housh called for a motion; MacQueen MOVED to pass the legislation, Stokes SECONDED.

Swinger advised this was a housekeeping item; the definition for “density” was not needed, as a regulation for number of units per acre already exists as “gross density” in definitions.

Housh opened the public hearing. No comments were provided, and Housh closed the public hearing. The vote was called, all “ayes” by roll call vote.

Second Reading and Public Hearing of Ordinance 2018-24 Repealing Section 1284.08 “Definitions: R-S” of the Codified Ordinances of the Village of Yellow Springs, Ohio and Enacting New Chapter 1284.08 “Definitions: R-S”

Housh called for a motion; Stokes MOVED, MacQueen SECONDED.

Swinger advised that the legislation adds “solar panels” to the list of “accessory structures” in order to make the Code clearer on the regulations regarding solar panels.

Housh opened the public hearing. Housh asked a clarifying question as to whether this would include solar panels installed on roofs. Swinger replied in the affirmative, noting the inclusion of solar panels whether mounted on the ground or upon another structure of any kind. Housh asked why solar panels should be included as accessory structures. Swinger responded as to the complicated nature of installing solar panels, which includes an interconnection agreement that must be approved by the Public Works Director, and that making solar panels part of the accessory structure section of Code would ensure that all steps were properly completed.

No other comments were heard. Housh closed the public hearing. The vote was called, all “ayes” by roll call vote.

Reading of Resolution 2018-20 Approving Requested Funds for Commissions for 2018

Housh asked that the Resolution be read in full; Bates read the Resolution. Housh called for a motion; Kreeger MOVED, MacQueen SECONDED.

Housh explained that, as an important part of the process, this formalizes the commitments Council has made to financially support Boards and Commissions for fiscal year 2018, as Board and Commissions add important capacity to assist in achieving Village Goals.

Housh called for any other comments and none were provided. Housh called for all in favor; all “ayes” by voice vote.

Reading of Resolution 2018-21 Supporting the Health and Welfare of Our Youth in Relation To the Use of Tobacco and Related Products

Housh asked for the Resolution to be read in full; Bates read the Resolution. Housh called for a motion; MacQueen MOVED and Kreeger SECONDED.

Bates noted that, at a previous meeting, Shernaz Reporter requested on behalf of the Greene County Department of Health that the Village pass legislation restricting the sale of tobacco and related products to those under 21 years of age within the municipal limits of the Village. Council subsequently heard from those who opposed such legislation. This Resolution was drafted as a method of showing support for statewide legislation, with concern for the health of our youth.

Housh called for comments from Council.

MacQueen noted that she found it difficult to support legislation limiting the sale of such products to the municipal limits of the Village because she felt it would not be effective.

Kreeger noted that she had spoken to many citizens about this topic and the overwhelming response was that it would not be effective. Kreeger also noted that even those who spoke against the legislation indicated that they would support a statewide effort and that she appreciated the compromise the Resolution achieved.

Housh noted his appreciation for the information provided both by Greene County Health Department and others indicating that such legislation could be a deterrent. Housh clarified his previous statement regarding an individual's right to smoke tobacco. Housh stated that he was not persuaded by that argument, as smoking costs billions of public health dollars and has impacts that reach beyond the smoker.

Hempfling noted that, while alcohol had detrimental impacts on a person's health, Prohibition did not work and she felt that such legislation would be ineffective.

Stokes noted that both arguments (health effects versus economic impacts) were compelling, but he was happy that common sense legislation had been enacted. Stokes confirmed that Greene County Health Department knew of the Resolution and MacQueen confirmed that it would be sent to them. Bates replied in the affirmative to both.

Housh asked that some of the information from Greene County Health Department be included in the mailings to our legislators.

Hempfling expressed her appreciation for Kreeger's Facebook post asking for input on the topic.

Housh asked for comments from citizens; there were none. Housh called for a voice vote; all "ayes" by voice vote.

CITIZEN CONCERNS

There were no Citizen Concerns. Housh noted that, in the future, he would like to use the sign-up sheet for citizens to speak when the audience is large to ensure fairness.

SPECIAL REPORTS

Mark Grube and Brice Frentzel of Julian and Grube presented the Draft Audit report. Grube gave a brief introduction, noting that the firm had a contract to perform audits of the Village finances on behalf of the Auditor of State and that the contract was in year four of five. Grube noted that the report being presented was a draft, which had not been approved by the Auditor of State and on which the Auditor could comment further before certifying. Grube further noted that the Village performs cash accounting which, while allowed, is not the method preferred by the Auditor. Stokes asked if using cash accounting could adversely affect grant applications. Bates responded in the negative. Grube noted that larger federal grants may require a special audit for cash accounting.

Grube noted that he would not discuss the budgetary part of the document, as he assumed Council was familiar with the numbers, and asked that everyone turn to page 16 of the document, which explained Julian and Grube's requirements for the audit.

Frentzel noted that former Finance Director/Assistant Village Manager Melissa Dodd had informed Council before her departure of the two Material Weakness findings in the Report regarding grant monies through the Ohio Public Works Commission (OPWC). As the OPWC pays contractors directly, the monies never flow through the Village accounts. However, the Village is required to record receipt of these monies and disburse them out as part of our budgetary process, as they are paid on behalf of the Village. As this was not done, the Village budget lines had to be adjusted to reflect the payments, resulting in the two material weakness findings.

Bates noted that she and current Finance Director Colleen Harris had spoken about the procedure and that Council would see these payments as part of the supplemental appropriations so that they could be properly reflected for fiscal year 2018.

Frentzel called for questions and Housh asked for an explanation of the conduit debt noted from 2002. Grube responded that the Village had apparently assisted a private healthcare facility in refinancing infrastructure debt. Grube further explained that the Village was not responsible for that debt, the healthcare facility was responsible. In response to Housh's question as to whether this was a common occurrence, Grube stated that it was seen occasionally. Housh further inquired as to whether a public-private partnership was similar, to which Grube responded it was more like a Port Authority to assist in economic development.

MacQueen asked if the debt was still outstanding and Grube replied in the affirmative, with Bates clarifying that, while the Village facilitated the issuance of the debt, the Village did not owe the debt. Grube confirmed that statement.

Housh noted the Village option to have or decline an exit interview, while MacQueen noted that we also could have had said interview in Executive Session, while was declined in the interest of transparency.

OLD BUSINESS

Proposal for Forgiveness of First Late Fee Annually

Kreeger noted a previous proposal in support of affordability, a primary focus of Council. Kreeger expressed her disappointment that the current utility software did not easily facilitate tracking this and asked for input from the community as to whether this was an important gesture, either monetarily or in the interest of building a better relationship. Kreeger asked for Council input, weighing the personnel cost against the importance of the forgiveness, noting that she would like to bring a more bold proposal in the future.

Hempfling asked what the late fees may be; Bates responded that, in January of 2017, there were 468 accounts with total accrued late fees of just over \$1,700. Stokes inquired as to whether certain accounts were late more often than others; Bates responded in the affirmative. Stokes asked if a credit could be given in advance, to which Bates replied that that would likely not be accepted as a practice by the Auditor and would also incur the same tracking issues. Stokes expressed support for the gesture, but felt he needed more information on the potential cost of modifying the software to assist with the process.

Hempfling commented that she felt Village late fees were too high, but expressed a larger concern that the top priority goal of affordability is not making much headway. Hempfling noted her concern about the Energy Board proposal on Utility Education and the infrastructure concerns, needing more information to move forward. Hempfling expressed her desire to revisit the Landlord Utility Responsibility policy and her feeling that the policy erodes the relationship between landlord and tenant.

Kreeger responded that she had brought that topic up previously and investigated when notices were sent to landlords. Kreeger expressed her feeling that the topics were related but should be considered separately and that she would bring the subject back at a later date.

MacQueen stated that the overarching goal was to provide some relief to community members in a way that has some meaning for community members and is "doable" for the Village. MacQueen felt that both the Energy Board and the Human Relations Commission (HRC) should be involved in the effort. MacQueen further suggested that the request for forgiveness of the first late fee be initiated by the community member. Finally, MacQueen tentatively noted the possible creation of a group to examine what may be impactful for community members that was also possible for the Village to implement.

Stokes expressed support for the waiver of the first late fee upon request idea, which could be easily maintained. Housh expressed appreciation for the idea as well, and also noted a program that the City of Columbus administers offering a utility bill credit based on income. The program is funded through a grant from American Municipal Power (AMP) and the forgiveness is requested through the City's Utilities Office. Housh noted that he agreed with Kreeger's sentiment for something more impactful and would like to talk about that at the next meeting.

Housh noted that adding capacity to the education piece through the Energy Board and the HRC had been discussed but had not materialized and suggested Council determine what was to be accomplished this year and make those reasonable and achievable goals. Housh noted that part two of the Council retreat was an opportunity to reprioritize the goals for the rest of the year with staff time in mind.

MacQueen asked Stokes if the HRC was interested in helping with these items. Stokes noted that, while there was interest, there was a period when membership was small and affected capacity.

Hempfling again noted her desire to discuss the Landlord Utility Responsibility issue, noting the loss to the Village of approximately \$10,000 annually. Hempfling expressed concern that landlords were getting notices as soon as tenants were late. Bates stated that landlords do not get notices until tenants are on the shut off list and scheduled for disconnection.

Housh agreed with Kreeger that this is a separate issue and should be listed as an agenda item to gather input. Housh made a distinction between private utility companies and Village utilities, which are funded by taxpayer dollars, which was a large part of the unanimous decision by a prior Council to implement the policy.

Housh asked Kreeger for a suggestion on bringing the issue back to Council. Kreeger noted that, until there was a clear path for infrastructure improvements and other expected costs, a bold recommendation could not be brought. Kreeger further noted the need for financial analysis of infrastructure needs to be done quickly in order to understand what can be done and expressed that perhaps recommendations should be tabled until that was done.

MacQueen asked what would be considered “bold,” to which Kreeger responded that she would know once the analysis was done, including when the dollars would actually need to be spent on infrastructure improvements. Kreeger reiterated the need to be fiscally responsible. Stokes suggested the use of the word “impactful.”

Utility Roundup

Bates reviewed the research she had been doing on the Utility Roundup, speaking with the Cities of Napoleon and Oberlin as well as Jackie Teegarden of the Northwestern Ohio Community Action Commission, which administers the programs for Napoleon and the City of Bryan. The policies vary greatly, but the Council would set the conditions under which the program would be available to individuals and the nonprofit would administer the program under those policies.

MacQueen suggested someone from Home, Inc. be part of the committee to develop the guidelines due to its expertise in the area. Stokes noted that there was a member of the HRC who may like to be involved. Bates noted that, once the policy and procedure was done, the Village would be hands off the process.

Diversity Hiring and Retention Policy

Bates explained that she and Human Resources Officer Ruthe Ann Lillich incorporated information gathered over time into a draft Diversity Hiring and Retention Policy, which was before Council.

MacQueen suggested striking the words “Try to” from the final bullet point.

Stokes noted that the draft was a good start, recognizing that the Village has already put many things into practice that are not written down. Stokes indicated that it was important to word the self-reporting of ethnicity carefully to encourage self-reporting.

Hempfling advised that she had done a lot of research on diversity hiring and looked forward to working on it collaboratively. Hempfling specifically noted that some language should be slightly different and had suggestions. Hempfling further suggested networking with various groups already established locally and regionally to reach those diverse populations. Hempfling indicated a desire to include social justice hiring practices as well as internal promotions.

Stokes noted that implicit bias is a big part of cultural change.

Housh noted the opportunity to align Village Values and the HRC mission with this policy.

Tree City USA

Bates introduced the topic by referring to the information in the packet, noting the four requirements to become a Tree City. First, the Village must establish a Tree Board or Tree Commission. The current Tree Committee is not a Village entity, it is a private group of citizens. The second requirement is the enactment of a tree care ordinance; the third standard is to establish a per capita budget for tree care. The fourth and final standard is to have an annual Arbor Day Celebration.

Bates noted the previous indication by the Tree Committee that it was not supportive, which seems to be a reflection of one member’s opinion, not that of the majority of the organization. Bates also noted the December deadline to apply to become a Tree City.

Housh expressed unwillingness to make decision without the presence of Tree Committee

members, as he understood part of their support for becoming a Tree City to be a lack of younger membership and an inability to carry on the current program as membership ages. Housh also noted that he sees becoming a Tree City as an opportunity to become more active in determining where our trees are placed as to not interfere with other infrastructure and as part of our Complete Streets Policy.

Bates noted that, after three years, trees planted on public property by the Tree Committee become Village responsibility and thanked Housh for his thoughts about capacity.

MacQueen expressed mixed feelings and a concern about the capacity piece. MacQueen also noted that she does not consider electric line pruning to be tree care. MacQueen suggested the possibility of working with the High School's School Forest team and also the need to have the Tree Committee present for the discussion.

Stokes asked if the list of Ohio Tree Cities included in the brief was exhaustive for Ohio. Bates advised it was not and a complete list could be found on the Arbor Day Foundation's website.

Manager's Housing Advisory Board (MHAB)

MacQueen referenced a document (read-only for this meeting) in packets detailing a draft proposed for next steps on the housing initiative. MacQueen noted a seven-step process, advising that while listed consecutively, the steps would more likely occur simultaneously, in some cases. The steps are: gathering information and identifying issues, assessing resources, developing a vision and policy statement (draft statement included), setting goals, developing strategies to meet the goals, developing a housing initiative plan and implementing strategies. MacQueen would like an in-depth discussion of the document at the July 16th meeting as well as input from Council on the policy statement.

MacQueen advised that Patrick Bowen of Bowen National Research, the firm that performed the Housing Needs Assessment, agreed to attend the August 20th Council meeting to discuss possible strategies with Council that would help achieve the goals set during the July 16th meeting. MacQueen also advised that Josh Abrams, a housing needs consultant from the west coast, agreed to perform some pro bono work with the Housing Advisory Board.

Hempfling mentioned a workshop on gentrification, which MacQueen and Swinger would attend on June 7th. A report will be brought back to Council from that workshop. Hempfling voiced concern that Council may not be able to provide direction to the conversation with Bowen without prior discussion. MacQueen noted that there were three meetings prior to Bowen's visit, at which Council would discuss the topic.

Kreeger clarified that a general timeline would be that step 4 (setting goals) would occur early Fall. MacQueen advised that she had hoped to have that discussion in July, to prepare for the discussion with Bowen in August. Kreeger asked for the definitions regarding levels of affordability, which MacQueen advised Kevin McGruder of the MHAB was preparing. Kreeger noted the quality of the report, thanking those who worked on it and noting its importance in making future decisions regarding housing. Kreeger further noted that she believed there was a technical component due to limited buildable space. MacQueen opined that it was both technical and ideological, that there were conflicting viewpoints and many issues to consider.

Housh advised that he felt the policy statement was already quite good.

Infrastructure Work Session

Housh asked Council to pick a date for the Infrastructure Work Session, noting that he would like it to be a bit more focused on Village Goals. Housh asked that the presentation materials be available ahead of time for review and that priorities be set with consideration of Village Goals.

Housh noted that July has a fifth Monday and Bates suggested an earlier starting time. Council set the work session for July 30th from 5:00 PM to 8:30 PM.

Clarification of Mayor's Court Recommendation

Hempfling asked Council to clarify direction from the last meeting regarding the discussion of the Mayor's Court recommendation. Hempfling and Kreeger noted that they felt the JSTF could be advised that the next step would be to meet with Mayor Pam Conine and Chief Brian Carlson to seek more specific information on their views of what types of charges should not be sent to Mayor's Court or should be assigned to Xenia or Mayor's Court based on the officer's discretion and why. The JSTF could then review that more specific information and send Council a new recommendation.

Housh agreed that sounded like a good idea and suggested that two things that be considered where the cost of incarceration and County services that could not be accessed through Mayor's Court.

MacQueen also asked if there would be a list of charges that would automatically be sent to Mayor's Court versus what might be sent to Xenia for certain reasons.

Hempfling noted the need for more communication between the JSTF, Mayor Conine and Chief Carlson, as well as for specific direction to the JSTF.

NEW BUSINESS

Investments

Housh introduced the topic of reviewing how Village investments are handled, a topic being discussed by the Village Manager's Finance Advisory Committee. Housh thanked Treasurer Rachel McKinley for providing information for the packets. The primary focus is to see if the Village can increase and maximize its returns, given the limitations on the ability of a government body to invest.

Housh advised that our current agreement with Huntington Bank allows for wrapping fees into what is purchased. A second option is to pay a consultant an annual fee to be a financial advisor. Housh referenced a presentation from Bond Tech, a relatively small and focused company that works with approximately 14 municipalities. Housh also referenced a presentation McKinley had received from Meeder, who also work with municipalities and has been noted by other municipalities to do a good job. Both have expertise around municipal investments.

Housh noted that his focus was on whether a slight increase in cost would result in a substantial increase in return on that investment. Bond Tech projected a possible \$150,000 annual return at a future date. Meeder is a bit more conservative, projecting \$85,000 annually. Both were based on an investment portfolio of \$5 million. Housh noted the need to finalize the amount available for investment, referencing McKinley's inclination to shifting some funds out of checking accounts and making them available for investment, thereby reducing the banking fees we pay.

Housh asked McKinley about the Star Ohio accounts. McKinley noted that both Star accounts are relatively fluid and that the Village is currently invested in only one, Star Plus. McKinley advised that the first question to answer is, what amount is available for investment? McKinley referenced the upcoming infrastructure work session and the impact those expenditures could have on available amounts. McKinley indicated past difficulty getting answers on income projections that could impact investments. McKinley recommended, before engaging a financial advisor, that the detailed determination be made of how much can be invested and for how long.

McKinley noted that, since signing the contract with Huntington, investment return had increased from \$7,000 in 2016 to \$36,000 in 2017. McKinley indicated a strong willingness to work with Council on future investments. Finally, McKinley indicated that the return on long-term investments was increasing and longer-term investments should be considered, making it a good time to have this conversation.

MacQueen asked how the decision could be made as to how much could be invested. Bates replied that she had had brief conversations with new Finance Director, Collen Harris, who may be more comfortable investing a larger amount than the previous Finance Director. The specific amount was yet to be determined.

Kreeger expressed a feeling that the conversation was related to a previous conversation about necessary reserves in accounts, indicating a need to make the money work for the Village. Housh noted that he felt that the Village may have been too risk-averse in the past.

Hempfling asked if there was a risk in investing. Housh replied that there was, but it was a low risk, given allowable municipal investments. Housh noted that next steps should be to determine a firm amount available for investment and to determine with which firm to contract, with the explanation that the annual fee would be roughly equivalent to the fee taken on commercial paper investments.

Alternate to Board of Zoning Appeals

Housh and Swinger interviewed Dan Reyes, a current alternate for the Board of Zoning Appeals who would like to renew his term, noting Reyes' background in architecture. Housh MOVED to renew Reyes's term as alternate to the Board of Zoning Appeals, MacQueen SECONDED, all "ayes" by voice vote.

MANAGER'S REPORT

Bates announced the dates and times for Implicit Bias Training, which may change slightly. Bates will keep Council informed. Housh noted a preference for a break between the sessions, as the training is more effective.

Bates announced that the new back-up generator was installed and working at the lift station.

Bates announced the departure of Water/Wastewater Treatment Operator Richard Stockton, who is leaving the Village to become an Assistant Supervisor of Wastewater Treatment in Springfield. The Village wishes Stockton good luck and prosperity and thanks him for his service to the Village.

Bates announced the graduation of Human Resources Officer Ruthe Ann Lillich with an Associate's degree in Human Resources. Bates congratulated Lillich on her accomplishments.

Bates noted that salaried staff would not be attending Council meetings on a regular basis moving forward, but would be present for quarterly reports and special topics.

CHIEF'S REPORT

Bates presented the Chief's report, noting Street Fair Saturday, June 9th. Bates further noted the traffic complications of lane and road closures.

Kreeger asked about the data being included in the Chief's report, to which Housh responded that it was usually in the packet for the second meeting of the month.

Bates noted also that Finance Director Colleen Harris had prepared a brief report for packets.

SOLICITOR'S REPORT

Conard noted that the legislation regarding small cell towers would be in the next packet for a first reading.

AGENDA PLANNING

Housh noted the need for a significant amount of time for the housing needs discussion.

Bates advised of the need for a resolution to release a Request for Proposals for Electric Pole Replacement on June 18th.

MacQueen asked if the draft for the energy education request for proposals would be available, to which Bates replied in the affirmative.

Hempfling asked about inclusion of the discussion of the Justice System Task Force as a future agenda item and how the process worked, as she had not requested it be included. Housh replied that it had been on future agenda items for a few months. Housh explained the process.

Kreeger noted the two-year term for the Mayor. It was noted that it was a Charter review item and that it could be changed. Kreeger requested that be discussed; Conard advised seeing if other items should be included for review.

MacQueen noted the need for further discussion on Vote 16 and Gun Control. Housh noted that it should occur within the next two meetings.

MacQueen asked what the policy on Public Records Requests was. Conard replied that he felt it was posted on the website but that he would be happy to write something. MacQueen expressed an interest in reviewing same.

MacQueen noted the Landlord Utility Policy discussion. Bates noted the need for the discussion to be separate from affordability; Kreeger concurred.

Housh suggested that some topics be reserved until goals were revisited. MacQueen asked if Council wished to schedule another retreat, to which Housh replied he felt the goals should be reviewed at a Council meeting to allow public input. Hempfling asked for a timeline; Housh replied he would prefer the next meeting. Hempfling suggested moving items to allow time for a goals discussion and asked when Patrick Bowen was coming. The decision was made to put review of goals on the July 2nd agenda.

MacQueen noted the need to begin the discussion of the Village Manager search process and suggested July 2nd.

Housh suggested Landlord Utility Responsibility be on July 16th.

***Future Agenda items are noted for planning purposes only and are subject to change.**

- July 2: Utility Affordability Proposal
 Village Manager Search Process
 Review of Village Goals
 OPWC Grant Resolution
- July 16: Proposed Purpose/Structure/Bylaws for Designated CIC
 Staff Quarterly Reports
 Ordinance Quarterly Supplemental
 Biennial Review of JSTF Commission Status
 Village Investment Strategy
 Landlord Utility Responsibility Discussion
 Tree City USA Discussion
- Aug. 20: Resolution Approving Designated CIC Bylaws
 Housing Advisory Board Update – Patrick Bowen
 Vote 16/Local Gun Control Proposals
 Village Commitment to Transparency Discussion

ADJOURNMENT

At 9:35pm, Stokes MOVED and Kreeger SECONDED a MOTION TO ADJOURN. The MOTION PASSED 5-0 ON A VOICE VOTE.

Please note: These notes are not verbatim. A DVD copy of the meeting is available for viewing in the Clerk of Council’s office between 9am and 3pm Monday through Friday or any time via youtube link from the Village website:

Brian Housh, Council President

Attest: Patti Bates, Deputy Clerk of Council