

**Council for the Village of Yellow Springs  
Regular Session Minutes**

**Council Chambers @ 6:00 P.M.**

**Monday, April 17, 2023**

**CALL TO ORDER**

President of Council Brian Housh called the meeting to order at 6:02pm.

**ROLL CALL**

Present were Housh, Vice-President Kevin Stokes, and Council members Marianne MacQueen and Gavin DeVore Leonard. Solicitor Amy Blankenship was also present. Carmen Brown joined Executive Session at 6:10pm.

**EXECUTIVE SESSION**

At 6:06, Stokes MOVED and DeVore Leonard SECONDED a MOTION TO ENTER EXECUTIVE SESSION for the Annual Evaluation of a Public Official. The MOTION PASSED 4-0 ON A ROLL CALL VOTE. The Solicitor and Village Manager were present in the Executive Session, with the VM joining the meeting at 6:38.

**REJOIN REGULAR MEETING**

At 7:04, DeVore Leonard MOVED and Brown SECONDED a MOTION TO RESUME THE REGULAR MEETING. THE MOTION PASSED 5-0 ON A VOICE VOTE.

**ANNOUNCEMENTS**

YSEquity Update. Chloe Weiner introduced herself as the new director of YSEquity, and let everyone know that the next round of applications for the \$300/month for two years stipend begins in May, and participants will be selected by the end of May.

MacQueen announced Earth Week activities planned for April 17-23.

Housh noted Celebrate Trails Day set for April 22.

Amy Wamsley announced that this year's Pride theme is "Love Riot", and is set for the last Saturday in June. She noted that those wishing to act as presenting sponsors are those donating \$5,000 and above.

Salmeron noted the YSPD "Drug Take-Back" event for April 28-29.

Housh announced that Yellow Springs was one of five Ohio recipients of the "2023 Scenic Ohio Award" from Scenic Ohio.

Housh announced that he has been named the MVRPC "Age Friendly Initiative" Chair. He noted a free workshop May 19<sup>th</sup> on the topic of Complete Streets development.

Housh noted that the Ohio Municipal League is seeking input for a survey on Employee Recruitment and Retention.

Salmeron announced that the Mercy Health Mammogram bus will be at the Bryan Center on April 20<sup>th</sup>.

**CONSENT AGENDA**

1. Minutes of April 3, 2023 Regular Session

## 2. Credit Card Statement for April

Stokes MOVED and MacQueen SECONDED a MOTION TO APPROVE THE MINUTES of April 3, 2023. The MOTION PASSED 5-0 ON A VOICE VOTE.

MacQueen MOVED and Stokes SECONDED a MOTION TO APPROVE THE APRIL CREDIT CARD STATEMENT. THE MOTION PASSED 5-0 ON A VOICE VOTE.

### **REVIEW OF AGENDA**

The YS Pride Request was added to New Business.

MacQueen asked that the Housing Committee report be added to the Manager's Report.

### **PETITIONS/COMMUNICATIONS**

The Clerk will receive and file:

- Matthew Kirk re: Link to Strong Towns Article
- Mayor's Clerk re: Monthly Reports and Quarterly Report (3)
- John Hempfling re: Zoning Changes (2)
- Matt Raska re: Need for Housing in YS
- Patti Dallas re: Support for Home, Inc. Request
- Carmen Milano re: Support for Home, Inc. Request
- Sue Parker re: Support for Home, Inc. Request
- Ellis Jacobs re: Support for Home, Inc. PUD
- YS Pride re: Pride Activities (2)
- YSPD re: Drug Take Back Event
- YSPD re: QR Code for Therapy Dog Donations

Stokes reviewed the materials received.

### **PUBLIC HEARINGS/LEGISLATION**

**First Reading of Ordinance 2023-17** Amending Chapter 1242.04 "Annexed Land" of the Zoning Code. Stokes MOVED and MacQueen SECONDED a MOTION TO APPROVE.

Swinger introduced the legislation, stating that at the behest of the "Neighbors for More Neighbors" (NFMN) group, Planning Commission voted to recommend that annexed land be brought in at a higher density so that more housing options can be immediately considered without rezoning.

Blankenship responded to a question from Stokes, stating that any annexed land must have a minimum of 5% contiguity.

Swinger addressed a further question from Stokes, stating that there are some parcels in the urban service boundary that have not yet been annexed.

Housh asked why PC had recommended R-B rather than R-C, and was told that this simply had not been considered.

Housh MOVED TO AMEND THE ORDINANCE TO CHANGE R-B to R-C. MacQueen SECONDED, and the MOTION PASSED 5-0 ON A VOICE VOTE.

Housh noted that there will now be a first and second reading of this ordinance, given the substantive change.

Housh CALLED THE VOTE, and the MOTION PASSED 5-0 ON A ROLL CALL VOTE.

**First Reading of Ordinance 2023-18** Amending Chapter 1248 “Residential Districts” of the Zoning Code to Allow Multiple Family Dwellings in Residence “A”. MacQueen MOVED and Stokes SECONDED a MOTION TO APPROVE.

Swinger described the recommendation, stating that PC is recommending that Council add single-family attached, two-family and multi-family as conditional uses in the R-A, Low Density Residential District.

MacQueen made a lengthy statement generally attesting to the ignominy of zoning codes and MOVED TO CHANGE THE ORDINANCE TO ALLOW THESE USES AS PERMITTED. Brown SECONDED.

Swinger stated the reasons PC has recommended a conditional use. She stated that PC understands what they can legally weigh in on and what they cannot, and advocated for the ability of neighbors to voice concerns. Swinger provided an example of a Home, Inc. project that had garnered great concern, and PC was able to change some of the parking and ingress/egress specifications to satisfy all parties.

Swinger then expressed that when a decision regarding infrastructure comes from a body of five based upon staff recommendation and petitioner input, that decision tends to be more readily received by the petitioner. She expressed concern that the recommendation(s) coming solely from Public Works would be more likely to receive pushback.

Swinger added that a builder does not have to put a great deal of money into engineering to bring a project to Planning Commission.

MacQueen asked why there are different requirements for different zones.

Swinger stated that when R-A was zoned, it was engineered for single family development. To contemplate greater density requires a careful utility review.

MacQueen stated that she does not like the fact that neighbors have the right to “weigh in” on a use within some neighborhoods but not all of them. “I don’t want people from a higher income area be able to keep out smaller houses and lots.”

Brown stressed the importance that all persons have an opportunity to be heard.

Stokes agreed, and pointed out that a conditional use is a permitted use.

Swinger added that she is unaware of PC denying a conditional use in her tenure at the Village.

Blankenship described a situation in which a proposed use is permitted, and in which the Village has required some infrastructure improvements for the project to proceed. Should the property owner disagree with the Village at that point, she said, they would have to enter into a legal process with a significantly high burden of proof. If the use is conditional, she said, and PC turns it down, the means of dispute is an administrative appeal to the Court of Common Pleas—a relatively inexpensive and simple process. Recourse is clearer on the conditional use path, she said.

Burns responded to a question from MacQueen, stating that all infrastructure issues occur in all zoning districts.

MacQueen asserted that perhaps all uses should be conditional in all zones.

Burns stressed that in his nine years with the Village he has never seen a conditional use denied, and instead has seen staff work hand in hand with property owners and developers to assure that projects are successful. He asked that the use remain conditional.

Housh asked if a robust utility review were required, would the use still need to be conditional.

Burns responded that the value of having a group—PC—tasked with the full review of a project weigh in on that project provides value to both Public Works and property owners. He concurred with Swinger's earlier comments, stating that it is easier for many to hear recommendations coming from a body rather than an individual.

Brown commented that it is critical that Council hear from "the people who do the work", adding that she is not interested in making anyone's job more difficult.

DeVore Leonard commented that he is inclined to accept the conditional use recommendation, but would like to find a middle ground that "reduces NIMBY-ism."

Stokes asserted that "conditional use IS the middle ground" pointing out that adding multi-family housing in R-A is a significant step.

Blankenship stated that Ohio law regarding conditional uses does not permit a Planning Commission to consider factors such as "I don't like this idea" or "I don't want this in my neighborhood", offering that PC decisions based upon support of "NIMBY" claims have been overturned consistently.

Housh commented that this would, however, require the step of a legal action.

MacQueen stated that "having a use be conditional is perceived as a barrier."

Housh stated that he would like to "do something rather than nothing." He added that he needs to see proof of the issues that have been raised against conditional use are indeed tangible.

John Hempfling commented that PC members are not qualified to make decisions regarding utility matters and should be removed from the equation, stating "I can't imagine they have anything to offer."

Stokes re-stated Swinger's earlier point, stating that a lot platted for single family which will now contain a multi family unit will require a full review to avoid serious infrastructure issues.

Judith Hempfling wondered about the capacity of infrastructure in R-A relative to R-C and stated that "this doesn't necessarily mean that the infrastructure in R-A has a lower capacity."

Housh stated that he needs to have proof that conditional use truly creates an obstacle. He suggested the case be made at the second reading.

MacQueen withdrew her earlier motion.

Stokes reiterated that staff have repeatedly stated that conditional uses are not denied, and it is for this reason difficult to consider these a barrier.

Dino Pallotta spoke to his time on PC, stating that conditional uses allow PC to apply conditions to a project that can mitigate neighbor concerns or address infrastructure issues. The whole point of PC, he said, is to apply a full and fair process to proposed projects.

Housh declined to call a vote.

**Reading of Resolution 2023-23** Approving a Salary Adjustment for Josue Salmeron for Continued Service as Village Manager. Stokes MOVED and DeVore Leonard SECONDED a MOTION TO APPROVE.

MacQueen described an extensive review process. She stated that “by and large, Josue is doing a good job.” She noted several goals requested of him, including increased collaboration with a number of local entities. MacQueen MOVED TO APPROVE A 5% INCREASE.

Housh explained that this would be a 4% COLA increase and a 1% merit increase.

Stokes stated that Salmeron had asked that his increase be in line with that of his staff, and that he, Stokes, would have been in favor of a higher merit increase were this not the case.

Stokes SECONDED.

Housh CALLED THE VOTE, and the MOTION PASSED 5-0 ON A VOICE VOTE.

**Reading of Resolution 2023-24** Approving the 2023-2024 Health Insurance Plan for VYS Employees. Stokes MOVED and Brown SECONDED a MOTION TO APPROVE.

Salmeron explained that the premium selected by employees is a continuation of the same provider with a 4% increase over 2022 rates. The Village will continue to provide an 85% match.

Salmeron noted that a second bid had been provided which would have meant a lower premium amount, with higher ongoing costs for employees.

MacQueen expressed concern that Anthem was being recommended, given its higher cost.

Salmeron stated that the increase had been budgeted for, and noted again that the burden of cost would be shifted to the employee in ongoing copay costs.

Kemper explained this again, stating that every time an employee sees a provider they will have a copay. She commented that one of the draws for people to work for the Village is the high quality health care.

Pressed further, Kemper stated that employees have voted to pay more to continue the Anthem program.

DeVore Leonard commented that one reason to pay more is to support those employees with high healthcare costs. This is necessary, he said, within a broken health care system.

Stokes commented that the decision is whether to support the institution financially or the individuals within that institution, urging support of employees.

Brown commented that since staff are willing to absorb some cost, and since staff have stated their preference for remaining with Anthem, she is prepared to vote on the matter.

Housh asked that Council be given more time to weigh in in the future.

Housh CALLED THE VOTE, and the MOTION PASSED 5-0 ON A VOICE VOTE.

**Reading of Resolution 2023-25** Approving the 2023-2024 Dental Insurance Plan for VYS Employees. Stokes MOVED and MacQueen SECONDED a MOTION TO APPROVE.

Salmeron stated that while there is a 12% increase, the plan is the only viable option.

DeVore Leonard commented that while 12% seem high, the increase is normal within the industry.

Housh CALLED THE VOTE, and the MOTION PASSED 5-0 ON A VOICE VOTE.

**Reading of Resolution 2023-26** Authorizing the Village Manager to Execute a Subdivider's Agreement with Spring Meadows Project I, LLC. Stokes MOVED and Brown SECONDED a MOTION TO APPROVE.

Salmeron referenced the Exhibit in the packet, noting that DDC is attempting to enact most of the needed improvements prior to bringing Phase 1 to Council in order to reduce the amount they must bond.

Stokes commented that the required lift station will benefit any further development in this area.

Salmeron affirmed this, adding that road and electric capacity will also be enabled.

Housh CALLED THE VOTE, and the MOTION PASSED 5-0 ON A VOICE VOTE.

**Reading of Resolution 2023-27** Approving a Contract with Megan Leatherman as Planning and Zoning Administrator. Stokes MOVED and Brown SECONDED a MOTION TO APPROVE.

Salmeron praised the work of Swinger, noting that finding an appropriate replacement was undertaken with great diligence.

Salmeron spoke to the high level of qualification Leatherman will bring to the position.

Stokes commented that he will look to involve Leatherman in some capacity in the YSDC.

Housh CALLED THE VOTE, and the MOTION PASSED 5-0 ON A VOICE VOTE.

**Reading of Resolution 2023-28** Approving a Contract for CIPP Sewer Relining with Insight Piping, LLC. Stokes MOVED and MacQueen SECONDED a MOTION TO APPROVE.

Burns explained that the relining will cover about 1.6 miles of sewer.

Housh CALLED THE VOTE, and the MOTION PASSED 5-0 ON A VOICE VOTE.

**Reading of Resolution 2023-30** Authorizing the Village Manager to Execute Participation Forms Regarding the New National Opioid Settlements with Teva, Allergan, CVS, Walgreens and Walmart. DeVore Leonard MOVED and Stokes SECONDED a MOTION TO APPROVE.

Salmeron explained the resolution as a housekeeping item necessary for Salmeron to sign for the limited funds.

Brown commented regarding use for the funds, advocating for their use specifically for treatment of addiction.

Salmeron responded that the funds can most usefully be put towards support of the Community Outreach services.

Brown stressed the need to address addiction specifically.

COS Randolph was later recognized and offered that many support services address addiction, which presents on multiple levels.

Housh CALLED THE VOTE, and the MOTION PASSED 5-0 ON A VOICE VOTE.

**Reading of Resolution 2023-31** Authorizing the Village Manager to Seek a Grant Award from the U.S. Department of Agriculture for Funding Known as the Rural Innovation Stronger Economy Grant. Stokes MOVED and Brown SECONDED a MOTION TO APPROVE.

Salmeron stated that the grant would go towards a 3-D printing program in the Village.

MacQueen asked why 3-D printing was selected.

Salmeron stated that there is a printing business now in the mix who could assist in getting the project off the ground. He noted collaboration with YSDC on the matter.

MacQueen asked many questions regarding the project, all of which were addressed.

Housh stated that only two projects were brought to the Village as grant possibilities, and the 3-D project was the best fit.

Housh CALLED THE VOTE, and the MOTION PASSED 5-0 ON A VOICE VOTE.

#### **CITIZEN CONCERNS**

Patti Dallas thanked Council for doing the job they do.

Reilly Dixon asked whether Council intends to regulate the new scooter rental business.

#### **SPECIAL REPORTS**

There were no Special Reports.

#### **MANAGER'S REPORT**

Salmeron noted that Chief Burge is working with the Mayor's office to set up a "drug court".

Salmeron stated that COVID updates will cease to be reported in the Manager's Report.

Salmeron reported a number of new hires, bringing Public Works nearly up to full staffing.

Housing Committee actions were discussed.

MacQueen opined that rentals will be "very difficult" and asked for more information on this.

Housh commented that any proposal from the Housing Committee will come back to Council for discussion.

Salmeron stated that the conversation at Housing Committee is still preliminary.

The conversation continued for several minutes.

## **OLD BUSINESS**

**Home, Inc. Funding Request.** Salmeron summarized the request for \$40,000.00 and waiver of tap and zoning fees.

Salmeron stated that he has broken the request into two portions as follows:

1. \$18,040.00 in the form of cash, disbursement upon Home Inc. securing funding for the entire project.
2. \$21,959.70 as payment on behalf of Home Inc. to the Village's Sewer Collection Enterprise to repay the Village for relining the sanitary sewer that will serve the development.

Salmeron stated that the request for tap fees cannot yet be evaluated, since engineering for the sanitary system has not yet been conducted.

Emily Seibel, YS Home, Inc. Executive Director, stated that eight units would cost a total of two million dollars, and that the bulk of that amount would need to be fundraised.

Seibel thanked Burns for completing the sewer relining in anticipation of a future development and in so doing securing a lower bid, but objected to the characterization of this cost as “outstanding debt,” since any obligation regarding that relining was tied to the 2019 proposal for a 54-unit development. She stated her approval of the funding being allocated in the manner proposed, observing that “this is what a good partnership looks like.”

Seibel stated that Home, Inc. is pursuing tap fee waivers for the first eight units, and is waiting for information as to cost. Seibel commented that she would not include the Village’s estimate for moving a utility pole, and “would not be the \$500,000.00 estimated by the Village, in that it would only include eight units.

MacQueen received confirmation that a pass-through of the funds would work better in terms of leveraging funding.

In response to a question from Brown, Seibel stated that YSHI has “always received tap fee waivers” from the Village, and that she had not even put those fees in the budget based on past donation from the Village.

Salmeron received confirmation from Kemper that a pass-through from VYS to YSHI and back again to cover sewer relining would not be a problem.

MacQueen indicated her belief that YSHI should not have to pay for the sewer relining.

Burns addressed the request regarding tap fees for eight units, stating that the initial taps need to support the entire development, not just the initial eight units. This necessitates that the initial taps be larger and therefore significantly more expensive—for all utilities. He added that he needs more engineering information to attach real cost.

Brown opined that past practice should be honored.



MacQueen argued that “there is only one organization providing affordable housing” and it should be supported.

Stokes agreed that it is necessary to have the correct cost before making a donation, but advocated for supporting affordable housing.

Housh stated that the VYS has a long history of supporting Home, Inc. and should contribute.

Burns stated again that he has asked YSHI for further information, and received confirmation that any cost to his enterprises would be refunded from the General Fund.

Seibel stated that she has not yet engaged an engineer, and had not understood that there would be a different way to attach cost to the necessary tap ins.

Seibel stated that YSHI is actively fundraising, and will need to have all funding sources finalized before making a request to Ohio Housing Funding Agency in June. She responded to a question from the Clerk, stating that OHFA likes to see 50% covered.

Council discussed the matter, concluding that they would like to make a donation but did not want it to be open-ended, given the need for further information.

Seibel suggested a waiver with a “not to exceed” clause, and that she could then work with the Village and engineers to resolve the matter.

Burns clarified that Aid to Construction is for the utility pole, and that he could get information from an engineer contact he has in order to get a better idea of cost for tap-ins.

MacQueen MOVED TO INVEST \$40,000.00 IN THE CASCADES PROJECT AND AN ADDITIONAL \$50,000.00 IN TAP FEE WAIVERS. Stokes SECONDED, and the MOTION PASSED 5-0 ON A ROLL CALL VOTE.

The Clerk noted that this will come back in the form of a resolution.

Brown asked to see clearer numbers regarding tap fees when the matter comes back as a resolution.

**Discussion of Recommended Fees for Village Events.** Salmeron introduced the matter, noting that Council had asked for information as to real cost of events so that they could better budget for these events. Salmeron stated that he has presented cost averages and an example of an approach to event cost recoup from another municipality. He noted that some of these costs will now be recouped because of recent passage of the vendor registration fee.

MacQueen noted that most event organizers will request donations, and asked that there be an event donation policy.

DeVore Leonard received confirmation that the amount of cost incurred noted by the Village is not total cost, but is additional cost over and above normal staff support.

Housh commented that ultimately, funds are derived from taxpayers, so a conversation on the matter is a responsible approach.

Brown noted that these are events that profoundly help the village, opining that the issue is complex.

Don Beard commented that none of the events normally held in the village will be affordable if fees are required to be paid by the organizers.

Heather Stewart stated that the fees have not been approved and that organizers should not have been told fees would have to be paid prior to anything being passed by Council.

Housh apologized if there had been miscommunication, but stated that the purpose of the discussion is to lead toward a discussion.

Christine Monroe Beard asserted that the business community “runs this town” and no one will want to live here without the businesses. You have to keep tourists coming in, she said, and affordable housing is no more important than the business community and needs to be supported. Council never asks for the opinion of the business community, she said.

Amy Wamsley asserted that Village staff had indicated to her “a couple weeks ago” that Pride would in fact have to pay for the cost of that event for 2023. Wamsley stated that she would not be willing to pay up to \$6,400 “for a parking lot”. She then criticized the fee structure example provided in the packet as not comparable to the situation in the village.

Heather Stewart offered to assist the Village in planning an event, stating that the fees provided are not accurate. She also criticized the example provided.

Mark Heise, Chamber President, stated that the Chamber has resources and will try to pay a fair proportion of Street Fair fees, but opined that other events do not have these resources. He commented that if fees are levied, it should be communicated a year ahead of time.

DeVore Leonard expressed disappointment with the lack of communication and information flow.

Salmeron responded that the Village is supporting these events and was asked to provide cost information.

Brown commented that the example provided was not useful, and that communication needs to be improved.

Dino Pallotta commented that he has watched Council approve funds for health care and for Home, Inc. while event organizers are asked to pay.

Amy Wamsley commented that communication had been lacking, asking why staff had time to meet regarding other matters but not with organizers.

Phillip O’Rourke “speaking on behalf of Pride” asked the Village to be a sponsor, and asked the Village to state the amount of donation for Pride before the end of the meeting.

MacQueen commented that Council does need to know real cost for events, and was assuming that organizers would come to Council seeking donation.

## **NEW BUSINESS**

**Discussion of Role of YSDC in Council Goals.** Stokes suggested some ideas for YSDC in support of Village goals by way of introducing the topic.

Dino Pallotta stated that YSDC has not been given clear direction from the Village, stating that the organization wants to be effective. Pallotta gave an example of how the organization could be used and urged Council to take advantage of the resource.

Corrie Van Ausdal, Vice President of YSDC, noted that as a CIC, YSDC has power to enact economic development initiatives. She asked Council for direction, commenting that there is no public face of the village prioritizing the types of businesses it wishes to attract. She added that YSDC would be able and capable of managing Lawson Place, as one example.

Housh commented that the role of YSDC has shifted since its inception, but that it sounds as if YSDC is now poised to partner with the Village, not just to take assignments from the Village. Housh commented that YSDC and the Village do need to communicate around priorities.

MacQueen stated that she would prioritize anything that aids in sustainability as a village.

DeVore Leonard asked for a dedicated conversation on economic development.

Stokes suggested a work session in the next month or so.

Housh agreed to this, and asked Stokes and Salmeron to work with the YSDC team to create an agenda and set up a session.

Brown commented that YSDC should have been involved in the RISE grant conversation from the beginning.

Lisa Abel, YSDC, made note of a number of successful businesses and some of their ideas for business expansion. She expressed support for the RISE grant. Abel commented that economic development is not on the Village goals list for 2023.

**The YS Pride Request.** Amy Wamsley responded to Housh's request for a specific request, stating that the enclosed letter requesting sponsorship is the request and no further specifics will be provided. Wamsley added that vendors will be on Mills Lawn, and that they are looking for a space for the beer garden, because the event will no longer be held at the Bryan Center.

O'Rourke, identifying himself as President of Pride, requested a process for asking for event donations

Housh responded that there is a request form and process.

Responding to comments from Salmeron, Brown stated that it is unfair to ask Council to try to create a process on the fly.

DeVore Leonard commented that Council should attempt to help Pride in any way possible, given the confusion posed by the event fee confusion.

Housh MOVED THAT THE VILLAGE BE A PRESENTING SPONSOR. Stokes SECONDED, and the MOTION PASSED 5-0 ON A VOICE VOTE.

## **BOARD AND COMMISSION REPORTS**

There were no verbal reports.

## **FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS**

- May 1:**       **Second Reading and Public Hearing of Ordinance 2023-17** Amending Chapter 1242.04 “Annexed Land” of the Zoning Code, Changing Zoning Density for any Land Annexation from R-A, Low Density Residential to R-B, Moderate Density Residential  
**Second Reading and Public Hearing of Ordinance 2023-18** Amending Chapter 1248 “Residential Districts” of the Zoning Code to Add Two-Family, Single-Family Attached and Multi-Family as a Conditional Use in the R-A, Low Density Residential District  
**First Reading of Ordinance 2023-19** Approving Home, Inc. Preliminary PUD Plan  
**Text Amendment Ordinances: 2023-XX through 2023-XX**  
**Ordinance 2023-0X** Amending the Personnel Policy Manual to Incorporate Anti-Discrimination Language per OCRC Settlement  
**Ordinance** Enacting an Internal Forfeiture Policy
- May 15:**   **Second Reading and Public Hearing of Ordinance 2023-19** Approving Home, Inc. Preliminary PUD Plan  
**Second Reading and Public Hearing of Text Amendment Ordinances: 2023-XX through 2023-XX**  
**Ordinance 2023-0X** Amending the Personnel Policy Manual to Incorporate Anti-Discrimination Language per OCRC Settlement  
American Municipal Power Presentation: Annual Power Supply Update  
Renewable Energy Credit Conversation  
Quarterly Financials  
Treasurer’s Report

## **ADJOURNMENT**

At 10:53pm, MacQueen MOVED TO ADJOURN. DeVore Leonard SECONDED, and the MOTION PASSED 5-0 ON A VOICE VOTE.

---

Brian Housh, Council President

---

Attest: Judy Kintner, Clerk of Council